118TH CONGRESS	\mathbf{C}	
1st Session		
		

To direct agencies to be transparent when using automated and augmented systems to interact with the public or make critical decisions, and for other purposes.

IN THE SENATE OF THE UNITED STATES

Mr. Peters (for himself, Mr. Braun, and Mr. Lankford) introduced the following bill; which was read twice and referred to the Committee on

A BILL

- To direct agencies to be transparent when using automated and augmented systems to interact with the public or make critical decisions, and for other purposes.
 - 1 Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representa-
 - 2 tives of the United States of America in Congress assembled,
 - 3 SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.
 - 4 This Act may be cited as the "Transparent Auto-
 - 5 mated Governance Act" or the "TAG Act".
 - 6 SEC. 2. DEFINITIONS.
- 7 In this Act:

1	(1) AGENCY.—The term "agency" has the
2	meaning given the term in section 3502 of title 44,
3	United States Code.
4	(2) Augmented critical decision proc-
5	ESS.—The term "augmented critical decision proc-
6	ess" means the use by an agency, or by a third
7	party on behalf of the agency, of an automated sys-
8	tem to determine or substantially influence the out-
9	comes of critical decisions.
10	(3) Automated system.—The term "auto-
11	mated system"—
12	(A) means a set of computational processes
13	derived from statistics or artificial intelligence
14	techniques, or that otherwise rely on data about
15	specific individuals or groups, to substantially
16	influence the outcome of critical decisions, in-
17	cluding computational processes that stand
18	alone or are embedded within another process,
19	system, or application, including paper-based
20	processes; and
21	(B) does not include computational proc-
22	esses or infrastructure the function of which is
23	not directly related to influencing or deter-
24	mining the outcome of critical decisions.

1	(4) CRITICAL DECISION.—The term "critical
2	decision" means an agency determination, including
3	the assignment of a score or classification, related to
4	the status, rights, property, or wellbeing of specific
5	individuals or groups, the outcome of which—
6	(A) is likely to meaningfully differ from
7	one individual or group to another; and
8	(B) meaningfully affects access to, or the
9	cost, terms, or availability of—
10	(i) education and vocational training;
11	(ii) employment;
12	(iii) essential utilities, including elec-
13	tricity, heat, water, and internet;
14	(iv) transportation;
15	(v) any benefits or assistance under
16	any Federal public assistance program or
17	under any State or local public assistance
18	program financed in whole or in part with
19	Federal funds;
20	(vi) financial services, including access
21	to credit or insurance;
22	(vii) asylum and immigration services;
23	(viii) healthcare;
24	(ix) housing, lodging, or public accom-
25	modations; and

1	(x) any other service, program, or op-
2	portunity a determination about which
3	would have a legal, material, or significant
4	effect on the life of an individual, as deter-
5	mined by the Director.
6	(5) DIRECTOR.—The term "Director" means
7	the Director of the Office of Management and Budg-
8	et.
9	(6) PLAIN LANGUAGE.—The term "plain lan-
10	guage" has the meaning given the term in section
11	1311(e)(3)(B) of the Patient Protection and Afford-
12	able Care Act (42 U.S.C. 18031(e)(3)(B)).
13	(7) Transparent automated governance
14	GUIDANCE.—The term "transparent automated gov-
14 15	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the
15	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the
15 16	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the Director pursuant to section 3(a).
15 16 17	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the Director pursuant to section 3(a). SEC. 3. TRANSPARENT AUTOMATED GOVERNANCE GUIDA
15 16 17 18	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the Director pursuant to section 3(a). SEC. 3. TRANSPARENT AUTOMATED GOVERNANCE GUIDANCE.
15 16 17 18	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the Director pursuant to section 3(a). SEC. 3. TRANSPARENT AUTOMATED GOVERNANCE GUIDANCE. (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the
115 116 117 118 119 220	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the Director pursuant to section 3(a). SEC. 3. TRANSPARENT AUTOMATED GOVERNANCE GUIDANCE. (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall issued
115 116 117 118 119 220 221	ernance guidance" means the guidance issued by the Director pursuant to section 3(a). SEC. 3. TRANSPARENT AUTOMATED GOVERNANCE GUIDANCE. (a) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of enactment of this Act, the Director shall issue guidance that—

1	(2) requires agencies to provide disclosure and
2	opportunity for appeal when using certain auto-
3	mated systems and augmented critical decision proc-
4	esses.
5	(b) GUIDANCE.—The transparent automated govern-
6	ance guidance issued under subsection (a) shall include—
7	(1) an identification by the Director of any ad-
8	ditional services, programs, or opportunities relating
9	to critical decisions described in section $2(4)(B)(x)$,
10	if appropriate, for use by agencies with respect to
11	the requirements under this Act;
12	(2) a list of automated systems that may be
13	used in augmented critical decision processes, that,
14	as determined by the Director, are not subject to the
15	requirements of this Act;
16	(3) with respect to automated systems that con-
17	tribute to augmented critical decision processes and
18	interact with the public, guidance for how agencies
19	shall design, develop, or update those automated sys-
20	tems to provide plain language notice to individuals
21	not later than the time and at the place of inter-
22	action with such an automated system that they are
23	interacting with such an automated system;
24	(4) the proper contents of the notice described
25	in paragraph (3);

1	(5) examples of what the notice described in
2	paragraph (3) could look like in practice;
3	(6) with respect to augmented critical decision
4	processes, guidance for how agencies shall provide
5	plain language notice to individuals not later than
6	the time a critical decision is issued to an individual
7	that a critical decision concerning the individual was
8	made using an augmented critical decision process;
9	(7) the proper contents of the notice described
10	in paragraph (6);
11	(8) examples of what the notice described in
12	paragraph (6) could look like in practice;
13	(9) guidance for how agencies shall establish an
14	appeals process for critical decisions made by an
15	augmented critical decision process in which an indi-
16	vidual is harmed as a direct result of the use of an
17	automated system in the augmented critical decision
18	process;
19	(10) with respect to critical decisions made by
20	an augmented critical decision process, guidance for
21	how agencies should provide individuals with the op-
22	portunity for an alternative review, as appropriate,
23	by an individual working for or on behalf of the
24	agency with respect to the critical decision, inde-

1	pendent of the augmented critical decision process;
2	and
3	(11) criteria for information that each agency is
4	required to track and collect relating to issues that
5	arise during the use of augmented critical decision
6	processes—
7	(A) to ensure that the information col-
8	lected can be used to determine whether each
9	automated system and augmented critical deci-
10	sion process covered by this Act is accurate, re-
11	liable, and, to the greatest extent practicable,
12	explainable; and
13	(B) that the agency shall make accessible
14	for use by the agency, the Comptroller General
15	of the United States, and Congress.
16	(c) Consultation.—In developing the transparent
17	automated governance guidance, the Director shall solicit
18	input from experts from—
19	(1) other agencies, including the National Insti-
20	tute for Science and Technology, the Office of
21	Science and Technology Policy, and the Government
22	Accountability Office;
23	(2) academia;
24	(3) the private sector; and

- 1 (4) the nonprofit sector, including experts in 2 civil rights and civil liberties.
- 3 (d) Artificial Intelligence Guidance.—The
- 4 guidance required by section 104 of the AI in Government
- 5 Act of 2020 (40 U.S.C. 11301 note) may be used to sat-
- 6 isfy the requirement for the transparent automated gov-
- 7 ernance guidance with respect to relevant automated sys-
- 8 tems and augmented critical decision processes, or a sub-
- 9 set thereof, if such guidance addresses each requirement
- 10 under subsection (b) of this section with respect to the
- 11 automated system or augmented critical decision process.
- 12 (e) UPDATES.—Not later than 2 years after the date
- 13 on which the Director issues the transparent automated
- 14 governance guidance, and biennially thereafter, the Direc-
- 15 tor shall issue updates to the guidance.

16 SEC. 4. AGENCY IMPLEMENTATION.

- 17 (a) AGENCY IMPLEMENTATION OF TRANSPARENT
- 18 AUTOMATED GOVERNANCE GUIDANCE.—Not later than
- 19 270 days after the date on which the Director issues the
- 20 transparent automated governance guidance, the head of
- 21 each agency shall implement the transparent automated
- 22 governance guidance to the extent that implementation
- 23 does not require rulemaking.
- 24 (b) Comptroller General Report.—Not later
- 25 than 2 years after the date of enactment of this Act, and

- 1 biannually thereafter, the Comptroller General of the
- 2 United States shall review agency compliance with this Act
- 3 and submit to the Committee on Homeland Security and
- 4 Governmental Affairs of the Senate and the Committee
- 5 on Oversight and Accountability of the House of Rep-
- 6 resentatives a report with findings and recommendations.

7 SEC. 5. SUNSET.

- 8 Beginning on the date that is 10 years after the date
- 9 of enactment of this Act, this Act shall have no force or
- 10 effect.